

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

**JANE DOE 1; JANE DOE 2; JANE
DOE 3; and JANE DOE 4,**

Plaintiffs,

v.

**DARREN K. INDYKE AND
RICHARD D. KAHN, in their
capacities as co-executors of THE
ESTATE OF JEFFREY E.
EPSTEIN; and ROES 2-10,**

Defendants.

Case No.: 1:19-cv-07675-GBD

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Plaintiffs Jane Doe 1, Jane Doe 2, Jane Doe 3, and Jane Doe 4 (“Plaintiffs”), by and through their attorneys, The Bloom Firm, bring this action against Defendants Darren K. Indyke and Richard D. Kahn, in their capacities as co-executors of the Estate of Jeffrey E. Epstein, and Roes 2-10 (“Defendants”). Plaintiffs allege upon knowledge concerning their own experience and upon information and belief as to all other matters.

I. OVERVIEW

1. Jeffrey E. Epstein (“Epstein”) was a serial sexual predator who exploited his wealth and power to fraudulently and forcefully entice young women into engaging in abusive commercial sex acts. Epstein sought to take advantage of Plaintiffs, who were each vulnerable, young women at the time of their assaults, through fraudulent, manipulative, and sometimes violent means to procure sexual favors. Epstein permanently damaged Plaintiffs’ lives and careers. Though Epstein is recently deceased, the trauma and pain he caused Plaintiffs remain.

2. Through his third-party agents and his own actions, Epstein intimidated his victims by flaunting his wealth and power. Epstein invited his victims to his lavish homes, which displayed photographs of wealthy and powerful political figures, indicating that he had powerful government connections. Epstein also – both directly and indirectly – represented to his victims that he always had control over others, evidenced by his recruiters’ and associates’ compliance in the sexual abuse. Indeed, Epstein even threatened women with violence if they made any attempts to come forward with their stories. Any young female entering his home and learning of his wealth, power, and connections would fear reporting him to the police or taking legal action against him before his death. Plaintiffs are no exception.

II. THE PARTIES

3. Plaintiffs, victims of sex trafficking and molestation, have been identified by pseudonym because this matter is of a highly sensitive and personal nature, and public disclosure of their identities may subject them to further embarrassment, shame, and emotional harm.

4. Plaintiff Jane Doe 1 is a United States citizen and a resident of Japan, domiciled in Japan.

5. Plaintiff Jane Doe 2 is a United States citizen, domiciled in Maryland. She resides in Baltimore, Maryland.

6. Plaintiff Jane Doe 3 is a United States citizen, domiciled in Virginia. She resides in Richmond, Virginia.

7. Plaintiff Jane Doe 4 is a United States citizen, domiciled in Washington. She resides in Vancouver, Washington.

8. Defendant Darren K. Indyke is sued in his capacity as an appointed executor of the Estate of Jeffrey E. Epstein.

9. Defendant Richard D. Kahn is sued in his capacity as an appointed executor of the Estate of Jeffrey E. Epstein.

10. The identities and capacities of defendants ROES 2 through 10 are presently unknown to plaintiffs, and on this basis, Plaintiffs sue these defendants by

fictitious names. Plaintiffs will amend the Complaint to substitute the true names and capacities of the ROE defendants when ascertained. Plaintiffs are informed, believe, and thereon allege that ROES 2 through 10 are, and were at all times relevant herein, employees and/or agents of Epstein.

III. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

11. Epstein was a citizen of the United States domiciled in the U.S. Virgin Islands at the time of his death and maintained a residence in the Southern District of New York. Thus, Darren K. Indyke and Richard D. Kahn, as legal representatives of the Estate of Jeffrey E. Epstein, are deemed citizens of the U.S. Virgin Islands.

12. This Court has jurisdiction over this suit pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 because this action involves claims brought under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1591 and 1595.

13. The amount in controversy in this action exceeds the sum or value of \$75,000.00, excluding interests and costs, and is between citizens of different states. Accordingly, jurisdiction is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1332. The Court also has jurisdiction over this suit pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.

14. On information and belief, this Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants Darren K. Indyke and Richard D. Kahn, in their capacities as legal representatives of the Estate of Jeffrey E. Epstein, pursuant to New York Civil Practice Law and Rules (“C.P.L.R.”) Sections 301 and 302 because Defendants operate in New York, transact business in New York, and own, use, or possess real property within New York.

15. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) because the events or omissions giving rise to Plaintiffs’ claim occurred in this District.

IV. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

16. At all material times, Epstein was (or appeared to be) a man of extraordinary wealth who traveled frequently around the world, including New York, New York at 9 East 71st Street, New York, NY 10021; Palm Beach, Florida; and in the United States Virgin Islands.

17. At all material times, Epstein was an adult male who was born in 1953 and died on August 10, 2019.

JANE DOES 1 AND 2

18. At all material times, Jane Doe 1 and Jane Doe 2 were aspiring female models and were also hostesses at The Coffee Shop, located at 29 Union Square West, New York, New York 10003.

19. In or around June 2004, at The Coffee Shop, an anonymous woman (“Roe”) approached Jane Doe 1 and offered her hundreds of dollars in cash in exchange for providing Epstein a massage in his mansion. Roe told Jane Doe 1 that her “boss” thought Jane Doe 1 was beautiful and that he liked to give young girls “opportunities.”

20. A few days later, Roe approached Jane Doe 2 at The Coffee Shop. Roe began the conversation by complimenting Jane Doe 2’s physical appearance. Roe told Jane Doe 2 that her nice, wealthy client liked young, pretty girls to massage him. Roe then offered Jane Doe 2 hundreds of dollars to massage Epstein at his mansion. Roe also intimated that there may even be future opportunities to make more money.

21. Shortly thereafter, Roe took Jane Doe 1, Jane Doe 2, and a mutual friend to a club. At this club, Roe told the women that she had worked for Epstein for a long time and that the job for which she was recruited simply entailed a brief massage. Based on these representations, both Jane Doe 1 and Jane Doe 2 accepted the offer.

22. Jane Doe 1 and Jane Doe 2 were both reasonably excited and hopeful about the opportunity to make money now and in the future. Both women were struggling financially, so Plaintiffs reasonably believed that the opportunity to make money by giving massages would and could provide much-needed financial support.

23. After being reassured by Roe that the massage would not involve any unwanted touching, Jane Doe 1 arrived at Epstein’s mansion in the Upper East Side of Manhattan, per Roe’s instructions, and was escorted to a private room.

24. While in the room, Jane Doe 1 massaged Epstein. During the massage, Epstein became increasingly more aggressive, made sexual advances, and

masturbated. Epstein, forcefully and without warning, grabbed Jane Doe 1's breasts and vagina and then masturbated to completion. After Epstein ejaculated, he left the room. Before Jane Doe 1 left Epstein's mansion, she received hundreds of dollars. During this same visit, Roe offered Jane Doe 1 a job to scout other women for money. Jane Doe 1 refused the offer.

25. Approximately two days after Jane Doe 1's visit, Jane Doe 2 met Roe near a small deli and/or cafe around the corner from Epstein's mansion, per Roe's instructions. Roe reiterated that Epstein would not touch her and that she would not have to undress for the massage. Roe again described Epstein as wealthy and kind. Jane Doe 2 agreed to meet Epstein with the understanding that he would not touch her and would provide her money after she provided him with a massage.

26. Immediately following this conversation with Roe, Jane Doe 2 arrived at Epstein's Upper East Side mansion for the massage. At the mansion, Epstein utilized increasingly aggressive tactics once he was alone with Jane Doe 2. During the massage, Epstein told Jane Doe 2 to undress, and Jane Doe 2 reluctantly acquiesced. Epstein then asked Jane Doe 2 to strip naked. Fearing what would happen to her if she were to refuse his demands, Jane Doe 2 again acquiesced. Epstein then sexually molested her by forcefully touching Jane Doe 2's breasts and masturbating in front of her. Epstein then forcefully penetrated Jane Doe 2 with his hand and an object. Epstein then ejaculated. Before she left Epstein's home, Jane Doe 2 received hundreds of dollars.

27. Per his *modus operandi*, Epstein's method of recruiting and enticing Plaintiffs, and his fraudulent conversion of the massage to molestation, intentionally made Jane Doe 1 and 2 feel as if the incidents that took place in his mansion were not crimes. Through his recruiter, Epstein expressed that Jane Doe 1 and 2 would simply give him massages for pay. Epstein normalized the sexual abuse by staging the room where the abuse took place with a massage table. As a result, Jane Doe 1 and 2, barely over the age of 18, had reason to believe the massages were legitimate and reasonably relied upon Epstein's misrepresentation to their detriment. This was a deliberate and deceptive plan and scheme by Epstein, and carried out by Roe, to

ensure that his victims were unaware that they were victims to avoid criminal and civil liability.

28. Until Epstein's publicized July 2019 criminal indictment which notified Jane Doe 1 and 2 of the uncanny similarity between their experiences and those of Epstein's other victims described in the indictment, Jane Doe 1 and 2 had been unaware that they had become victims of the sordid sex-trafficking scheme, then-exposed by the media and federal government. As well, Jane Doe 1 and 2 were aware that a private right of action is stayed during the pendency of a criminal action under 18 U.S.C Section 1595(b)(1).

JANE DOE 3

29. At all relevant times, Jane Doe 3 was a minor under the age of 18. At all relevant times, Jane Doe 3 was an aspiring model in New York.

30. In or around 1990, Jane Doe 3 met Epstein in New York, New York, when she was approximately 15 years old. On information and belief, Elite Model Management held casting calls in New York City wherein models were sent to meet with various Elite Model Management affiliates and clients, including photographers and casting directors. On information and belief, Epstein represented himself as a modeling photographer connected to Elite Model Management.

31. On Jane Doe 3's first day of casting calls with her dream agency, Elite Model Management, she was excited and hopeful for the opportunity to work with renowned photographers and casting directors. This was the first casting call she ever attended.

32. For her first casting call appointment, the agency's owner, John Casablanca, directed Jane Doe 3 to a residential address in Upper East Side Manhattan. Jane Doe 3 believed the appointment to be a legitimate casting call and was unaware of the identities of the homeowner, photographer, or any casting director at the time.

33. When Jane Doe 3 arrived at the home, she was required to complete what she believed to be standard casting call paperwork, which required her size,

age, height, and pager number. Epstein then introduced himself to Jane Doe 3 as a casting photographer.

34. Almost immediately after this brief introduction, Epstein told Jane Doe 3 to remove her clothing. Jane Doe 3 complied because she believed removing her clothes was mandatory to complete the casting calls and to be competitive in the modeling industry. She wanted to advance her career, so she complied. Once Jane Doe 3 stripped to her underwear, Epstein took photographs of Jane Doe 3's near-naked body from different angles. He did so by fraudulently representing to Jane Doe 3 that he was a photographer and worked in the fashion industry.

35. While she remained partially exposed, Epstein pushed Jane Doe 3 against a wall, pressing his body against hers, until she could not move. Jane Doe 3 froze in discomfort. She did not say anything because she reasonably relied on Epstein's and Elite Model Management's representations that Epstein was a legitimate photographer and believed the offensive touching may have been customary for casting calls.

36. While Epstein had Jane Doe 3 pinned against the wall, he pulled down his pants and thrusting against her until he ejaculated. Jane Doe 3 felt harmed and violated.

37. Traumatized, Jane Doe 3 immediately left New York following the casting call to return to her home in Connecticut, despite intending to remain in New York to further her modeling career. Out of fear, Jane Doe 3 did not attend another casting call for several weeks and thereafter chose to only work with secondary market agencies for years. Due in part to the psychological and emotional scarring from her encounter with Epstein, Jane Doe 3 disenrolled from her high school while only in the tenth grade.

38. Epstein's method of recruiting and enticing Jane Doe 3, and his fraudulent conversion of a casting call into molestation/rape, was intended to make Jane Doe 3 feel as if the molestation/rape that took place at the casting call was not a crime. Epstein knew a vulnerable minor like Jane Doe 3 would rely on his representations that the molestation/rape was legitimate. To her detriment, Jane Doe

3 did, in fact, rely on his representations. This was a deliberate plan and scheme by Epstein, and carried out by Epstein, to ensure that his victims were unaware that they were victims to avoid criminal and civil liability. Until Epstein's July 2019 criminal indictment, Jane Doe 3 had been unaware that, due to this incident, she had become victim of a sordid sex-trafficking scheme. As well, Jane Doe 3 was aware that a private right of action is stayed during the pendency of a criminal action under 18 U.S.C Section 1595(b)(1).

JANE DOE 4

39. At all relevant times, Jane Doe 4 was a minor under the age of 18.

40. Jane Doe 4 first met Epstein in or around 1984 in Hilton Head Island, South Carolina. On information and belief, Epstein had rented and/or leased a vacation home from Jane Doe 4's mother, a local real estate agent in Hilton Head Island.

41. As was common in the area, Jane Doe 4 offered babysitting services to the tourists renting property from her mother. Jane Doe 4 was approximately 13 years old at the time when Epstein first hired Jane Doe 4 to work as a babysitter.

42. When Jane Doe 4 arrived at Epstein's vacation rental in South Carolina, there were no young children to babysit. Instead, Epstein immediately offered 13-year-old Jane Doe 4 alcohol and drugs. Impressionable and shocked, Jane Doe 4 accepted Epstein's offering. Epstein raped Jane Doe 4 for the first time later that night.

43. Epstein continued the same pattern of abuse and assault of Jane Doe 4 over the next several years. During summers, Epstein visited Hilton Head and hired Jane Doe 4 for her "babysitting services." In reality, Epstein was supplying a teenage girl alcohol and illicit drugs and subsequently assaulting and violent raping her.

44. On information and belief, Epstein took nude photographs of Jane Doe 4. Jane Doe 4 was not made aware when the photos were taken. However, when Jane Doe 4 saw photographs of her own nude body and asked Epstein to return

them, Epstein became violent and refused to provide these photographs to Jane Doe 4.

45. Epstein's sexual abuse of Jane Doe 4 continued across state lines. On information and belief, Epstein flew Jane Doe 4 to New York, New York on approximately three of four occasions. During these trips, Epstein brought Jane Doe 4 to intimate gatherings with other prominent, wealthy men. It was later made clear to Jane Doe 4 that Epstein brought her to these parties to essentially offer her up as "fresh meat" to these other men.

46. Jane Doe 4 was brutally and forcibly battered, assaulted, and raped by these other men she met through Epstein. On one occasion, one of these prominent men forcibly slapped Jane Doe 4 in the face after she was forced to perform oral sex on him. This same man forcibly raped her, penetrating her both vaginally and anally. On information and belief, Epstein was aware of and, indeed encouraged, the assault of Jane Doe 4 by these other men.

V. DAMAGES

47. As a direct result of the sexual assault by Epstein, Plaintiffs have suffered, and continue to suffer, from psychological damages, including, but not limited to, depression, anxiety, anger, flashbacks, and nightmares.

48. Plaintiffs also suffered psychological trauma affecting their abilities to generally function and several areas of their lives, including but not limited to their personalities, self-esteem, sexualities, relationships, and careers.

49. Further, for the undetermined future, Plaintiffs must relive their sexual assault everyday due to the inescapable coverage of Epstein's federal criminal sex-trafficking case.

50. Moreover, until recently, Plaintiffs' psychological damages have been debilitating, and have prevented them from understanding and asserting their legal rights. Additionally, Plaintiffs have feared and have been intimidated by Epstein, his wealth, his close connections to Donald Trump, Bill Clinton, Alan Dershowitz and other powerful political, business and legal leaders, and have feared retaliation. As a result, Plaintiffs were deprived of the opportunity to commence this lawsuit

before Epstein's death. Upon Epstein's death, Plaintiffs felt less threatened and a sense of freedom.

51. However, Plaintiffs' distressing experiences at the hands of Epstein will affect them for their entire lives.

COUNT I

Violation of The Trafficking Victims Protection Act 18 U.S.C. §1591

(All Plaintiffs Against All Defendants)

52. Plaintiffs repeat and re-allege each and every allegation as set forth in the preceding paragraphs as if set forth in full herein.

53. Epstein recruited and enticed Plaintiffs by initiating a professional relationship with them. At times on his own volition and at other times through his recruiters, Roes 2-10, Epstein invited and recruited (1) Plaintiffs Jane Does 1 and 2 to provide him "massages" for money – the payment of money being of value to the Plaintiffs; (2) Plaintiff Jane Doe 3 to feign career advancement opportunities, which were of value to Plaintiff; and (3) Plaintiff Jane Doe 4 to provide "babysitting" for money – the payment of money being of value to the Plaintiff. A meeting with a wealthy man like Epstein was also of considerable value for impressionable minors and aspiring, financially vulnerable female models and professionals.

54. Based upon the representations by Epstein and Roes 2-10, these invitations reasonably led Plaintiffs to believe there may be future earnings or professional opportunities for Plaintiffs if they accepted Epstein's invitations.

55. Plaintiffs reasonably relied upon the monetary promises and offers made by Epstein. In fact, Plaintiffs Jane Does 1 and 2 only accepted the invitations by Roes 2-10 because they understood the invitations to be an opportunity to gain money, career advancement, or objects of value.

56. At the outset of initiating his relationship with Plaintiffs, Epstein knew that he would make fraudulent and enticing offers for harmless "massages," "babysitting" opportunities, or "casting calls." He made these offers to knowing he would then sexually touch Plaintiffs for his own sexual gratification. He knew his offers of hundreds of dollars would convince Plaintiffs to engage in massages or

accept his offers so that he could convert the massages into molestation. He also knew that he would engage in fraudulent one-on-one photoshoots and pretend to need babysitting services.

57. Once he knowingly recruited and enticed Plaintiffs, Epstein then engaged in commercial sex acts with Plaintiffs by forcibly fondling them, including their genitals, for sexual gratification under the fraudulent guise of career advancement, “babysitting” opportunities, or massages in exchange for money.

58. Epstein intended that his fraud and force cause sex acts to take place.

59. Roes 2-10, as Epstein’s recruiters, knowingly and intentionally conspired with Epstein to facilitate the fraud and sexual abuse of Plaintiffs.

60. Plaintiffs initially complied with Epstein’s orders during the fraudulent acts because they believed they were part of the “massages,” and “professional opportunities.” They believed that they had to engage in these practices in get paid, receive objects of value, or obtain career advancement. When Epstein then began to touch Plaintiffs in an aggressive, sexual manner, Plaintiffs were terrified and froze. Indeed, through his fraudulent representations, Epstein had isolated each of the Plaintiffs to overpower or otherwise control them. He held himself out as a wealthy, powerful man. Plaintiffs felt they had no other choice but to comply with this man’s orders.

61. Epstein’s promises, as sometimes relayed by Roes 2-10, were made in relation to the payment for massage services, career advancements, and objects of value, which affects interstate commerce. Epstein’s exchange of massage career opportunities for sex acts has a substantial effect on interstate commerce.

62. Epstein’s use of his position as a powerful, wealthy man to otherwise engage in touching with young women was for the purpose of his own sexual gratification.

63. On information and belief, the fraudulent “massages” and “professional opportunities” were routinely utilized by Epstein to engage in sex acts with Plaintiffs. In fact, Epstein used this precise fraud to engage in commercial sex acts with multiple young, female victims, as evidenced by his 2008 Florida

solicitation of prostitution conviction and recent 2019 criminal indictment for sex trafficking.

64. As a result of Defendant Epstein's heinous and willful conduct, Plaintiffs are entitled to compensatory damages, punitive damages, attorney's fees, costs, and all other appropriate relief.

COUNT II
SEXUAL BATTERY

(Plaintiffs Jane Doe 1 and Jane Doe 2 Against All Defendants)

65. Plaintiffs repeat and re-allege each and every allegation as set forth in the preceding paragraphs as if set forth in full herein.

66. Epstein intentionally committed battery by sexually assaulting Plaintiffs when they were young women. As set forth herein, Epstein intentionally sexually assaulted and touched Plaintiffs in an offensive and sexual manner without their consent.

67. Epstein's actions constitute sexual offenses as defined under New York Penal Law § 130 *et seq.*, including but not limited to Article 130.35, as Epstein sexually assaulted Plaintiffs by forcible compulsion within 20 years of filing this Complaint. *See* N.Y.C.P.L.R. § 213-C.

68. A criminal action against Epstein with respect to the same sex trafficking enterprise from which Plaintiff's claim arises was terminated on August 29, 2019, less than one year prior to the filing of this Complaint. *See* N.Y. C.P.L.R. § 215(8)(a).

69. As a direct and proximate result of these Epstein's actions, Plaintiffs were caused to suffer and continue to suffer personal injury, debilitating and extreme emotional trauma, and severe humiliation, some or all of which may be permanent in nature and which will require continued psychological counsel and care.

///

///

///

COUNT III

SEXUAL BATTERY OF A MINOR

(Plaintiffs Jane Doe 3 and Jane Doe 4 Against All Defendants)

70. Plaintiffs repeat and re-allege each and every allegation as set forth in the preceding paragraphs as if set forth in full herein.

71. At all relevant times herein, Plaintiffs Jane Doe 3 and Jane Doe 4 were minors.

72. Epstein made violent, harmful and offensive sexual contact against Plaintiffs including, but not limited to, forcibly massaging and groping Plaintiffs' breasts, forcing his erect penis against their persons, and raping Plaintiffs. Such acts constitute sexual offenses committed against a child less than eighteen years of age as defined under New York Penal Law § 130 *et seq.*

73. A criminal action against Epstein with respect to the same sex trafficking enterprise from which Plaintiff's claim arises was terminated on August 29, 2019, less than one year prior to the filing of this Complaint. *See* N.Y. C.P.L.R. § 215(8)(a).

74. Plaintiffs did not consent to Epstein's harmful and offensive contact.

75. Epstein acted intentionally and/or with deliberate indifference and callous disregard of Plaintiffs' rights and physical and emotional safety and wellbeing.

76. The conduct of Epstein was extreme, outrageous, and beyond the bounds of common decency.

77. As a direct and proximate result of these attacks, Plaintiffs were caused to suffer and continue to suffer personal injury, debilitating and extreme emotional trauma, and severe humiliation, some or all of which may be permanent in nature and which will require continued psychological counsel and care.

///

///

///

COUNT IV

SEXUAL ASSAULT OF A MINOR

(Plaintiffs Jane Doe 3 and Jane Doe 4 Against All Defendants)

78. Plaintiffs repeat and re-allege each and every allegation as set forth in the preceding paragraphs as if set forth in full herein.

79. At all relevant times herein, Plaintiffs Jane Doe 3 and Jane Doe 4 were minors.

80. Epstein intended to deprive and did deprive Plaintiffs of their freedom of movement by use of physical barriers, force, threats of force, menace, deceit, and unreasonable duress. Such acts constitute sexual offenses committed against a child less than eighteen years of age as defined under New York Penal Law § 130 *et seq.*

81. A criminal action against Epstein with respect to the same sex trafficking enterprise from which Plaintiff's claim arises was terminated on August 29, 2019, less than one year prior to the filing of this Complaint. *See* N.Y. C.P.L.R. § 215(8)(a).

82. Plaintiffs did not consent to Epstein's acts, which were objectively unreasonable.

83. As a direct and proximate result of Epstein's conduct, as alleged herein, Plaintiffs have suffered, and will continue to suffer, the damages herein mentioned, in an amount according to proof.

84. As a direct and proximate result of these attacks, Plaintiffs were caused to suffer and continues to suffer personal injury, debilitating, and extreme emotional trauma, and severe humiliation, some or all of which may be permanent in nature and which will require continued psychological counsel and care.

COUNT V

INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS

(All Plaintiffs Against All Defendants)

85. Plaintiffs repeat and re-allege each and every allegation as set forth in the preceding paragraphs as if set forth in full herein.

86. As a direct result of these allegations as stated, Epstein committed intentional infliction of emotion distress against Plaintiffs.

87. Epstein's actions, as set forth herein, constitute extreme and outrageous conduct that shocks the conscience. Epstein's plan to recruit, entice, and assault Plaintiffs goes beyond all possible bounds of decency and is intolerable in a civilized community. Such acts constitute sexual offenses committed against a child less than eighteen years of age as defined under New York Penal Law § 130 *et seq.*

88. Epstein knew or disregarded the substantial likelihood that these actions would cause Plaintiff severe emotional distress.

89. A criminal action against Epstein with respect to the same sex trafficking enterprise from which Plaintiff's claim arises was terminated on August 29, 2019, less than one year prior to the filing of this Complaint. *See* N.Y. C.P.L.R. § 215(8)(a).

90. As a direct and proximate result of Epstein's actions, Plaintiffs were caused to suffer and continue to suffer personal injury, debilitating and extreme emotional trauma, and severe humiliation, some or all of which may be permanent in nature and which will require continued psychological counsel and care.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF ON CLAIMS

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray that this Court:

A. Award Plaintiffs all of their damages under The Trafficking Victims Protection Act 18 U.S.C. § 1595, the civil remedy for violation of The Trafficking Victims Protection Act 18 U.S.C. § 1591, and common law, including compensatory damages and punitive damages in an amount of \$100,000,000.00 or in an amount to be determined at trial.

B. Award Plaintiffs all attorney's fees, costs and expenses available under law;

C. Award Plaintiffs all pre-judgment interest and post judgment interest available under law; and

D. Award Plaintiffs such additional and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

VII. JURY DEMAND

Plaintiffs demand a trial by jury on all issues triable of right by jury.

DATED: December 20, 2019

Respectfully submitted,

THE BLOOM FIRM

By: /s/ Arick Fudali

Lisa Bloom

Arick Fudali

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

85 Delancey St., Ste. 29

New York, NY 10002

(818) 914-7397